

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of

Shunichi Hosoyamada

Serial No.: 09/925,601

Group Art Unit: 2674

Filed: August 10, 2001

Examiner: Dinh, Duc Q.

----- For: **METHOD AND CIRCUIT FOR DRIVING LIQUID-CRYSTAL DISPLAY AND**  
**IMAGE DISPLAY DEVICE** -----

Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.116**

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated August 27, 2003, please consider the following relative to this Office Action.

**REMARKS**

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner for taking time from his busy schedule for conducting a personal interview with Applicant's representative. It is considered that this interview allowed an exchange of viewpoints that has helped to expedite prosecution.

However, it is noted that Applicant disagrees with the Examiner's characterization in Paragraph 6 of page 7 of the Office Action that "... applicant's representative recognized that the language of the independent claims is not reflected (sic) the claimed invention ...." That is, although there was indeed some discussion concerning the adequacy of the claim language, that fact is quite different from the conclusory characterization that "... applicant's representative recognized ...."